





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/100,129	06/19/1998	PAUL HAVERSTOCK	52817.000035	8225
29315	7590 01/03/2002			
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC			EXAMINER	
11911 FREED	AIN SQUARE DOM DRIVE, SUITE 400	)	KANG, PAUL H	
RESTON, VA	20190		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2152	
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/2002	:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H.G

			H 'C-	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/100,129	HAVERSTOCK ET AL.		
,,	Examiner	Art Unit		
•	Paul H Kang	2152		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	ner: (1) a timely filed amendm Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper re ent which places the appli	cation in	
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or	b)]		
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.			
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ng date of the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). I have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	fextension and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply origin	ount of the fee. The appropriate exally set in the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:			
(a)  they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N		,		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or	simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cannot be note:	anceling a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected clai	ms.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)v canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	- , ,	ed in a separate, timely file	d amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requesion in condition for allowance because	est for reconsideration has be e: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	en considered but does No	OT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which we	ere newly	
7 X For numoses of Anneal, the proposed amend	ment(s) a) will not be ente	red or h) will be entered	and an	

Claim(s) allowed: None.

Claim(s) objected to: None.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

MARK H. RINEHART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The exhibits submitted with declarations (December 3, 2001, paper no. 27) are not sufficient to overcome the prior art of record under 37 CFR 1.131. During the telephone interview on December 14, 2001, the appliant informed the examiner that the exhibits submitted were public documents. Since the dates of these public documents have not yet been determined, they are not sufficient to overcome the prior art of record under 37 CFR 1.131.